



Article

Effect of Wild Boar (*Sus scrofa*) Rooting on Soil Characteristics in a Deciduous Forest Affected by Sedimentation

Natalia Pitta-Osses, Csaba Centeri, Ádám Fehér and Krisztián Katona

Special Issue Land Use and Other Strategies to Mitigate Climate Change in Carbon-Dense Forests Edited by

Dr. Csaba Centeri and Dr. Gergely Jakab





https://doi.org/10.3390/f13081234





Article Effect of Wild Boar (Sus scrofa) Rooting on Soil Characteristics in a Deciduous Forest Affected by Sedimentation

Natalia Pitta-Osses ¹, Csaba Centeri ^{2,*}, Ádám Fehér ¹, and Krisztián Katona ^{1,3}

- ¹ Department of Wildlife Biology and Management, Institute for Wildlife Management and Nature Conservation, Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Páter Károly u. 1., H-2100 Gödöllő, Hungary; nata.pitta@gmail.com (N.P.-O.); feher.adam.hun@gmail.com (Á.F.); katona.krisztian@uni-mate.hu (K.K.)
- ² Department of Nature Conservation and Landscape Management, Institute for Wildlife Management and Nature Conservation, Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Páter Károly u. 1., H-2100 Gödöllő, Hungary
- ³ National Laboratory for Health Security—Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Páter Károly u. 1., H-2100 Gödöllő, Hungary
- * Correspondence: centeri.csaba@uni-mate.hu

Abstract: Forest soils are shaped by various processes, like runoff, erosion, sedimentation and bioturbation. A better understanding of the interactions between abiotic and biotic soil-forming processes, including wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) rooting (i.e., subsurface foraging), enhances adequate management of forest ecosystems. We hypothesized that intense soil sedimentation influences wild boar rooting occurrence and that wild boars modify the outcome of the sedimentation process by redistributing soil layers. This study was conducted in the Babat Valley, Hungary. We estimated the availability of sedimented and non-sedimented patches and the occurrence of boar rooting. Surveys and samplings were done along transects, over consecutive months, where the impact of rooting on the physical and chemical characteristics of soil was measured by comparing them between control and rooted sites. We found that non-sedimented, steep areas were preferred areas for rooting. Sedimentation processes have a higher impact on soil chemical characteristics and soil layer composition than wild boar rooting. We conclude that mitigation of soil degradation can be more effective by reducing adverse abiotic processes rather than wild boar population control.

Keywords: sedimentation; feral pig impact; soil compaction; soil chemical composition; erosion; bioturbation; Central Europe

1. Introduction

Soil stability strongly correlates to vegetation cover; when large areas are cleared, soil becomes vulnerable to erosion. As land is exposed to direct rainfall and surface water runoff, sedimentation increases, particularly along human-made structures such as roads and landing sites [1,2].

Surface runoff, sedimentation and soil erosion are natural processes that play an integral role in landscape formation [3,4]. Erosion-related changes in the landscape are highly dependent on site-specific soil properties and climate [5,6]. It has been established that runoff and sediment production in forested areas is determined by rainfall amount, rainfall intensity, topography, canopy density, current vegetation type, and it can be exacerbated by the construction of roads and land use management [7]. In forested areas, litter cover acts as a protective layer to slow down runoff and prevent the loss of nutrients from the soil [8]. The impact of wild ungulates on runoff and sedimentation is often overlooked, with only a few studies investigating this interaction [2,9,10].

Mammalian herbivores influence ecosystem-level processes, but the effects and their extent are context-dependent, thus generalizations remain elusive [11,12]. Related to



Citation: Pitta-Osses, N.; Centeri, C.; Fehér, Á.; Katona, K. Effect of Wild Boar (*Sus scrofa*) Rooting on Soil Characteristics in a Deciduous Forest Affected by Sedimentation. *Forests* 2022, *13*, 1234. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/f13081234

Academic Editor: Mariano Moreno de las Heras

Received: 22 June 2022 Accepted: 28 July 2022 Published: 3 August 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). the soil properties, the impact of ungulates is highly dependent on vegetation type and availability, climatic conditions, soil macro- and microfauna composition, and even soil type [13]. Impacts can be derived from feeding behavior, wallowing, trampling, or rooting (also commonly referred to as grubbing) that affects the litter or soil itself, which varies according to population density and the behavior of each species [14].

Of particular interest in this regard is the wild boar or wild pig (*Sus scrofa*; in this paper, wild boar refers to the populations present in the species' native range, and wild pig, to those in its invasive range [15]), due to its preference for rooting as a feeding behavior [14,16], and their current population density and distribution; wild boar populations have significantly increased worldwide in recent decades [17], which has caused an increase in species-related conflicts [18]. Its impact on forest regeneration, vegetation cover, soil macroinvertebrates, and soil composition has been analyzed in a varied array of climatic and topographic conditions [8,9,18–20], although the relationship between wild boar rooting and sedimentation in forested areas remains widely under-studied [2].

Wild boar rooting is usually recognizable by disturbance of the topsoil layer [20] and can have varying effects: it can increase nutrient cycles and decomposition rates; nutrient loss through leaching; reduce hillslope hydrological connectivity; decrease sediment transport; act as litter and sediment traps [3]; and increase richness, evenness, and diversity of species. It has been argued that the impacts of wild boar activities can be seen in the decrease in organic matter in the topsoil and an increase in soil compaction because of wallowing, trampling, or resting, all resulting in an increase in sedimentation flow through sloped terrain [4]. Although studies in Germany [19], Hawaii [21], Australia [3], and Argentina [14] found that any effect of wild pig rooting on soil properties is either not significant or supplanted by more pressing factors, such as precipitation, road construction and use, and vegetation cover. Overall, wild boar rooting overturns extensive areas of soil, leaving them bare of vegetation and causing soil disturbance with important effects on the dynamics of native plant communities [13]. It is possible that it either triggers erosion by exposing fresh soil or improves the conditions of the forest soil by acting as a sediment trap, as is the case for tree throws [22].

Highways built near forested areas, like in our study area, create a greater problem since the precipitation running down the road through pronounced slopes intensifies the transport of sediments. We hypothesized that, in a situation of this kind, soil runoff will cause measurable differences between sedimented and non-sedimented forest soil patches, in terms of soil characteristics and rooting occurrence by wild boar. We also expected that wild boar rooting would cause differences in the soil properties of disturbed and intact patches, and this would modify the outcome of the sedimentation process by redistributing soil layers and increasing soil penetration resistance, thus enhancing runoff. In the background of our expectations, the aims of this study were to:

- Measure the extent and spatio-temporal pattern of wild boar rooting in a forested area where soil sedimentation is intense;
- Determine the preference of soil used by wild boar, based on sediment cover;
- Investigate the impact of wild boar rooting on soil compaction, and soil chemical composition.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

This study was conducted in the Babat Valley, forming part of the Gödöllő Hillside Landscape Protection Area and part of the Natura 2000 network. It is located near the city of Gödöllő in Pest County, Hungary (47°36′56.61″ N, 19°22′50.40″ E).

The Babat Valley is a transitional area between the Great Hungarian Plain and the North Hungarian Mountains. The average annual temperature is 9.5–10 °C. The amount of annual precipitation is 600 mm with most precipitation falling in early summer. During that time, significant erosion can occur due to the shallow topsoil cover, mainly comprised of loess mixed with sand [23].

The study area includes 21.5 ha of coniferous (Scots pine: *Pinus sylvestris*) and broadleaved deciduous (pedunculate oak: *Quercus robur* and field maple: *Acer campestre*) forest [24] with eroded areas. This site was selected due to the presence of sedimented, non-sedimented, steep ($>7^\circ$) and flat ($<7^\circ$) areas within it. The soil type in the area is rusty brown forest soil. The highway built along the study area has exacerbated the pre-existing inclination of water erosion to shift sediments downward along the slope. The rainwater is directed into the protected area's forested land and, as a result, causes severe soil erosion problems. In the examined area there are huge gullies, sometimes reaching 6–8 m in depth (Supplementary Materials Figure S1).

The availability of sedimented and non-sedimented areas was estimated by walking along transects (Figure 1) and classifying soil type at every 15 m (on 649 sampling points). Sites were classified as sedimented if the topsoil had a sandy, yellow texture and as non-sedimented where the topsoil had a typical loamy texture and a brown color. Based on the preliminary data, sedimented sites covered 22% of the study area (4% were flat and 18% steep) and non-sedimented sites covered 78% (56% flat and 22% steep).



Figure 1. Location of the study area and design of the grid of 20×20 m cells in 21.5 ha in the Babat Valley, Hungary. The red star on the overview map indicates the location of the study area in Hungary.

In the spring of 2020, a yearly total of 5174 wild boar was hunted in Pest County [25], so their continuous presence in the area is obvious.

2.2. Monitoring and Categorization of Wild Boar Rooting

To monitor wild boar rooting appropriately across time and location, we used a grid map system across the study area aligned to north–south and west–east directions (Figure 1). The dimensions of each grid cell were 20 by 20 m (N = 610 cells in total) where new and persistent boar rooting was documented and monitored. We collected monthly data on the spatial distribution of rooted soil patches along 30 transects (50 to 500 m long), located 20 m from each other (approx. in parallel to the highway) from October 2019 to August 2020 (except February and March, due to bad weather conditions). When transects crossed rooted areas, the enter and exit GPS points of the rooting site were recorded. We used a Garmin GPSmap62 (Garmin International, Inc., Olathe, KS, USA) in-field, the Compass

tool in a Huawei Y9 Smartphone (Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd., Shenzhen, Guangdong, China) and LocusMap application (version 3.38.6, Asamm Software s. r. o., Prague, Czech Republic) with Map1.eu (version Alpha 0.3, GitHub, Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA) installed, to geo-locate the rooting sites.

During monthly field work, grid cells were considered as rooted by wild boar if the soil surface was disturbed, litter moved away from the ground or mixed with the topsoil forming a microtopography of undulations as is common in pig rooting. This can be recognized by the bare soil stripped of vegetation, accumulation of grubbed soil along the edges, and the presence of wild boar footprints and other boar-related signs (i.e., feces and hair). The rooting occurrence recorded was classified according to three main factors: by depth as (1) shallow (<10 cm), (2) medium (10–30 cm), and (3) deep (>30 cm); actual soil sedimentation as (1) sedimented or (2) non-sedimented; and the steepness of the patch as (1) steep (>7°) or (2) flat (<7°).

2.3. Soil Sampling and Field Analyses

Soil sampling was performed at 3 specific locations of selected patches in rooted sites: (1) inside, in the deepest part of the patch disturbed by the wild boar, called "rooting"; (2) around, where the soil that had been removed from the rooting accumulated on top of the original topsoil, called the "ring"; and (3) outside, at 1 m distance from the edge of the rooting patch where the original soil conditions can be measured, called the "control" (Supplementary Materials Figure S2).

To measure soil penetration resistance, we randomly chose 10 deep-rooted patches, 5 from sedimented and 5 from non-sedimented areas. In each of those, the compaction was determined in the rooting, ring, and control sections, with two repetitions, using an Eijkelkamp Penetrologger equipment at every 1 to 80 cm. A total of 30 measurements were taken, grouped by sedimentation (i.e., sedimented and non-sedimented) and location (i.e., rooting, ring, and control), categorized into 6 groups in total with equal sample sizes (n = 5).

For soil chemical analysis, we collected soil samples from the patches' rooting, ring and control of another 20 randomly selected rooting patches, ensuring that sedimentation and rooting depth were equally represented in the sample, e.g., 5 rooted patches were selected for each combination of sedimentation (sedimented and non-sedimented) and rooting depth (deep and shallow) categories. Rooting with medium depth was not included in this comparison. The sampling procedure was done in April 2020, when the upper 25 cm of the soil on a 30×30 cm area was dug at each sampling point, the soil was extracted and mixed on a plastic surface and samples of 1 kg were taken for soil analysis.

Parameters of collected soil samples (pH, Total Organic Carbon (TOC—m/m %), including total nitrogen (g/kg), total phosphorus (g/kg), exchangeable potassium (mmol/kg), clay (m/m %) and Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC—mmol/kg) were evaluated by using a Near InfraRed (NIR) spectrometer (Wavelength Range: 1300–2600 nm MEMS technology) through the SoilCares mobile app.

An additional descriptive soil profile analysis was performed in the field with a 100 cm stainless-steel Pürckhauer-type soil probe on 6 deep rooting patches (Tables S1 and S2). The soil horizons (A, B, and C) were identified based on color and texture (lighter to darker; sandy to loamy), based on the Munsell soil color chart and expert assessment in August 2020.

2.4. Data Analysis

The temporal dynamics of rooting occurrence were described by the within-grid cell changes of wild boar disturbances noted between consecutive monthly assessments. These cells were categorized according to rooting intensity classifications, such as: (1) "Appeared", if we found rooted soil surface within a grid cell, but there was no rooting there in the previous month; (2) "Disturbed", if rooting was already found in the previous month in the cell and the area had been visibly disturbed again since then; (3) "Disappeared", if no rooting was found in the grid cell, but it was rooted in the previous month; and (4) "Intact" if no rooting was observed during the survey or during the previous survey.

The proportion of grid map cells within which rooting occurrence was observed were determined for each month.

Boar rooting preference for sedimentation and steepness was tested by the Chi-square goodness of fit test and Bonferroni confidence intervals [26], comparing the proportions of all rooted patches in different sedimentation and steepness classes throughout the study against the proportion of the availability of those classes, based on the dataset of 649 sampling points along transects done to determine soil availability.

The amount of redistributed soil by rooting in different soils and steepness classes was also estimated for each sampling occasion (except for May due to data loss), where we considered the three-dimensional shape of the rooted depression as a spherical cap and calculated its volume by using the data on length and depth of rooting patches. The length (i.e., the diameter in our estimation) of the rooted soil patch was calculated as the distance between the "enter" and "exit" GPS points using the software QGIS 2.18.28 Las Palmas (QGIS.org, 2022. QGIS Geographic Information System. QGIS Association. http://www.qgis.org) in HD72/EOV (EPSG: 23700 projected coordinate reference system for Hungary). The following formula was used for estimating the volume (V) of excavated soil:

$$V = \frac{\pi h^2}{3}(3r - h),$$

where *h* (height) is the depth of a rooted soil patch [m], and *r* (radius) is half of the length of a rooted soil patch [m].

The direct correlation of soil penetration resistance with sedimentation and wild boar rooting (rooting–ring–control comparisons) were analyzed by Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE) in R [27], with the "geepack" package [28]. Since these compaction values were highly autocorrelated in similar depths, GEE was an appropriate method to handle issues related to any type of temporal or spatial autocorrelation [29] by selecting the best working correlation structure by the QIC (quasi-likelihood under the independence model criterion) value of each equation.

We implemented factorial MANOVA (Multivariate Analysis of Variance) in R to evaluate the effects of wild boar rooting and sedimentation on soil nutrient levels. We considered sedimentation, rooting depth and location of measurements (rooting—ring—control comparisons) as independent variables and NIR results (pH, Phosphorus, Potassium, TOC, CEC) as dependent variables excluding Nitrogen due to its high correlation with TOC (r = 0.88). All dependent variables were log-transformed. We used Pillai's trace for test statistics in MANOVA.

3. Results

3.1. Distribution and Intensity of Wild Boar Rooting Activities

Wild boar rooting was constant, although variable over time: on average, we found wild boar rooting in $23 \pm 12\%$ of the 20×20 m cells every month.

The goodness of fit tests revealed that wild boar utilized areas differently than expected every month, based on sedimentation and slope (Table 1). Non-sedimented steep areas were more frequently rooted (mean utilization ratio: $45 \pm 20\%$) and preferred by wild boar on 6 occasions out of 9, based on the statistics. However, non-sedimented flat ($18 \pm 16\%$) and sedimented steep ($10 \pm 7\%$) areas were utilized less than expected, avoided 5 and 6 times out of 9, respectively. The sedimented flat areas ($26 \pm 19\%$) were used in proportion to availability for almost all months during the study (8 of 9 cases).

	S—Flat (n = 26)	NS—Flat (n = 341)	S—Steep (n = 107)	NS—Steep (n = 136)	Goodness of Fit Test	
-	%	%	%	%	x ²	р
Availability	4.3	55.8	17.6	22.3	351.04	< 0.001
October	6.0	58.8	5.1	30.1	26.91	< 0.001
November	0.6	57.1	8.7	33.5	21.49	< 0.001
December	8.2	3.5	9.4	78.8	169.7	< 0.001
January	4.1	52.2	4.7	39.0	65.24	< 0.001
April	3.3	67.2	9.0	20.5	8.43	0.038
May	3.7	33.3	0.0	63.0	108.9	< 0.001
June	9.2	19.7	1.3	69.7	110.12	< 0.001
July	0.0	39.2	30.4	30.4	16.92	< 0.001
August	7.4	18.9	15.6	58.2	103.31	< 0.001
Mean	4.6	40.6	10.2	44.5		

Table 1. The availability of different areas and the relative proportion of boar rooting grouped by
slope and steepness in different months with the results of the Chi^2 test. Bold values indicate a
significant preference, and italics shows significant avoidance.

S-sedimented soil, NS-non-sedimented soil.

Throughout the study period, the total amount of monthly rooting observed expressed as a proportion of grid cells ranged between 12.46 and 48.36%. The peak of rooting occurrence frequency was revealed to be in January, with a maximum of 38% newly disturbed grid cells. After the winter period, there was a considerable decrease in rooting frequency between April and August, when the proportion of intact areas reached 80% (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Temporal variation in boar rooting activity in the study area, measured by the proportion of map grid cells, in each category of rooting occurrence by wild boar.

According to our calculations of the amount of redistributed soil, we found that 7.75% of the ground surface was rooted (on average 775 m² /ha). Comparing this to the average proportion of rooted grid cells (23%), it means that in a 20 × 20 m cell, approx. 30 m² were generally disturbed. This was composed of 69% shallow, 26% medium and 5% deep rooting. Exceptionally high values were reached in the case of non-sedimented areas, where 213 ± 190 and 276 ± 286 m²/ha ground surface were overturned by the most frequently observed shallow rooting type in flat and steep places, respectively. For medium–deep

rooting, the average disturbed soil surface area always remained under 83 m²/ha, for the deep ones under 21 m²/ha for each combination of soil and steepness classes.

A significant amount of soil was redistributed, averaging 52.8 m^3 /ha (Figure 3).



Figure 3. Mean volume of redistributed soil (m³/ha) by wild boar rooting of various depths (shallow: <10 cm, medium: 10–30 cm, and deep: >30 cm) during a monthly period on sedimented or non-sedimented soil, and flat or steep areas.

As a consequence of the dominance of shallow rooting on non-sedimented areas, the largest volume of soil was excavated by this type of digging: 10.63 ± 9.51 and $13.81 \pm 14.28 \text{ m}^3$ /ha were excavated on flat and steep areas, respectively. Including all rooting types within the whole study area, 45.14 m^3 /ha of non-sedimented soil and 7.7 m^3 /ha of sedimented soil were redistributed during a monthly period.

3.2. Impact of Rooting and Sedimentation on Soil Penetration Resistance

Comparing soil penetration resistance between soil categories and rooting, ring and control groups, no significant relationship was found between sedimentation and soil compaction or rooting and soil compaction by GEE when these factors were tested as main effects. Only sampling depth was a significant factor in this and associated positively with penetration resistance (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Soil penetration resistance in rooting, ring, and control areas in sedimented and nonsedimented soils. Dots represent observations from non-sedimented soils, while triangles from sedimented soils.

However, when an interaction effect between depth and location (rooting vs. ring) was applied (Table 2), the relationship was significant in penetration resistance.

	Estimate (SE)	Wald Test	
Intercept	0.511 (0.103)	24.44 ***	
depth	0.011 (0.001)	65.08 ***	
location ring	-0.15 (0.877)	2.92	
location rooting	-0.107(0.101)	1.1	
sedimented soil (S)	-0.028(0.086)	0.11	
depth \times location ring	0.006 (0.002)	10.35 **	
depth \times location rooting	0.005 (0.002)	4.69 *	
*** $n < 0.001 \cdot ** n < 0.01 \cdot * n < 0.05$			

Table 2. Results of generalized estimating equations on soil compaction data.

Nevertheless, the soil penetration resistance was not affected by either the sedimentation or wild boar rooting occurrence.

3.3. Impact of Rooting and Sedimentation on Soil Chemical Characteristics

The datasets for soil chemical characteristics indicate that disturbance of the soil caused by wild boar rooting had less impact than the sedimentation processes unfolding in the valley (Table 3).

		S-Shallow	S-Deep	NS-Shallow	NS-Deep
рН	Rooting	7.4 ± 0.7	7.36 ± 0.7	6.34 ± 0.7	6.68 ± 0.7
	Ring	7.62 ± 0.6	7.36 ± 0.6	6.2 ± 0.4	6.56 ± 0.6
	Control	7.64 ± 0.5	7.28 ± 0.9	6.42 ± 0.5	6.54 ± 0.5
	Rooting	3.5 ± 1.9	2 ± 0.3	3.9 ± 0.6	3.58 ± 0.9
TOC [%]	Ring	2.74 ± 0.9	2.52 ± 0.7	3.72 ± 1	3.92 ± 0.9
	Control	2.7 ± 1.2	2.7 ± 1.2	3.8 ± 1.6	3.64 ± 1.2
	Rooting	1.66 ± 0.6	1 ± 0.3	1.96 ± 0.1	2.08 ± 0.4
Total N [g/kg]	Ring	1.56 ± 0.5	1.48 ± 0.4	1.82 ± 0.4	2.08 ± 0.6
	Control	1.5 ± 0.5	1.44 ± 0.7	2.02 ± 0.7	2.12 ± 0.6
Total P [g/kg]	Rooting	0.62 ± 0.1	0.54 ± 0.1	0.54 ± 0.1	0.62 ± 0.1
	Ring	0.58 ± 0.01	0.52 ± 0.1	0.6 ± 0.1	0.58 ± 0.1
	Control	0.56 ± 0.9	0.5 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.1	0.58 ± 0.1
	Rooting	172.0 ± 53.1	118.9 ± 56	71.2 ± 27	150.9 ± 45.3
K [mmol/kg]	Ring	171.3 ± 40.7	128.2 ± 73.8	104.0 ± 36.3	133.7 ± 53.1
	Control	161.1 ± 45.5	107.1 ± 52.1	81.3 ± 32.9	156.4 ± 47.8
CEC [mmol+/kg]	Rooting	171.56 ± 12.5	149.52 ± 17.1	138.12 ± 28.8	173.6 ± 62.2
	Ring	180.42 ± 12.8	162.9 ± 24.3	100.64 ± 27.6	164.84 ± 55.4
	Control	$167.52 \pm 24.$	157.98 ± 16.6	144.14 ± 30.1	160.04 ± 60.8

Table 3. Mean and standard deviation of soil parameters measured by the NIR device in sedimented (S) vs. non-sedimented (NS) soil, in the rooting, ring, and control areas in case of shallow and deep rooting.

TOC—Total Organic Carbon, CEC—Cation Exchange Capacity.

Sedimentation as a main effect had the largest influence on soil parameters. The significance of wild boar rooting was only reflected in the interaction effects with sedimentation as a crossover interaction effect (Table 4).

Table 4. MANOVA table of the effects of rooting depth type, sedimentation and rooting location on soil NIR data.

	df	Pillai's Trace	F
Rooting depth	1	0.16	1.49
Sedimentation	1	0.52	8.51 ***
Rooting location	2	0.12	0.5
Sedimentation \times Rooting location	2	0.24	1.09
Rooting depth \times Sedimentation	1	0.36	4.42 **

***: *p* < 0.001; **: *p* < 0.01.

All parameters directly related to soil organic content, namely TOC, CEC and Nitrogen, were significantly negative. The pH was positively affected by sedimentation, and the roles of rooting-related factors were non-significant (Table 5). Potassium and Phosphorus levels were both higher in shallow-rooted sedimented soils just as in CEC (Table 5). The opposite was revealed for deep rooting on non-sedimented soil, called a crossover interaction (Figure 5). The clay content was highly varied according to sedimentation and location of sample collection at the rooted patch area (Figure 5D). The rooting depth (shallow or deep) can significantly influence Phosphorus, Potassium and CEC levels depending on the sedimentation, whereas the rooting itself might facilitate clay accumulation on the surface by redistributing sedimented soil.

Table 5. Univariate test statistics of the effects of rooting depth type, sedimentation, and rooting location on soil NIR data.

	df	pН	TOC	Р	К	Clay	CEC
Rooting depth	1	0.06	1.23	0.23	0.25	3.57	1.33
Sedimentation	1	41.04 ***	17.63 ***	0.56	3.9	2.49	7.01 *
Rooting location	2	0.02	0.03	1.42	0.18	0.69	0.4
Sedimentation × R.location	2	0.19	0.03	0.26	0.2	4.37 *	1.68
R. depth \times Sedimentation	1	2.71	0.69	5.99 *	21.02 ***	3.44	7.81 **
Residuals	52						

***: p < 0.001; **: p < 0.01; *: $p < \overline{0.05}$.



Figure 5. Plots of the significant interaction effects: rooting depth type \times sedimentation in (**A**) Phosphorus, (**B**) Potassium, (**C**) Cation Exchange Capacity levels, and sedimentation \times location in (**D**) clay content.

3.4. Impact of Rooting on Soil Profile

Contrary to our hypothesis, the soil profile analysis showed that, regardless of the location (i.e., rooting, ring or control), the A horizon was not highly redistributed, therefore it remained visible in the upper part of the profile (Supplementary Materials Table S1). Furthermore, the C horizon was visible as the top layer of a deep-rooted soil patch only on one occasion, whereas it was common to find a regular order as A, A–B, B, and C horizons from top to bottom in all rooting patch locations. Implying that wild boar soil redistribution does not affect the layer composition or the overall soil profile in the area. However, it can be argued that in the rooted areas, which are deeper than the surroundings due to digging by wild boar, it is easier to reach the C horizon, therefore there is more lime as proven by the reaction with acetic acid. Furthermore, it is harder to reach deeper horizons in the rings, due to the accumulation of soil in those areas.

4. Discussion

The impact of wild boar rooting on the soil was constant throughout the year. We found an increasing amount of rooting during the autumn and winter months and a gradual decrease in rooting activity in spring and summer. This can be due to the decreasing availability of green herbaceous biomass during winter and the need to search for acorns, seeds and roots below-ground. Therefore, wild boar foraging activity was variable across time and location but can be considered as a continuous disturbance factor for forest soil.

In our study, the area of soil disturbed by wild boar was proportionally low: $775 \text{ m}^2/\text{ha}$ implies less than 1% of the total area is being affected and considering the shallowness of most of the rooting, its effects can be superseded by other factors. However, the volume of soil redistributed (on average $52.8 \text{ m}^3/\text{ha}$) might have long-term effects on soil characteristics [3]. Accepting that wild boar rooting is a permanent disturbance in forest habitats, it becomes clear that the spatially and temporally variable rooted surfaces will cover the largest part of the ground within a relatively short period, thus being a fundamental driver of soil formation processes. Our results are comparable to those reported by Kotanen [30], who studied the effects of invasive wild pigs in California and stated that the soil was overturned by this species at a 7.4% rate annually, following a seasonal pattern similar to our findings, i.e., more pronounced from mid-autumn to spring. Other authors report more significant disturbances related to soil redistributed by wild boar rooting in the introduced range of the species, where those ecosystems could be more resilient to its effects. Cooray and Mueller-Dombois [31] found that wild pig digging could disturb up to 30% of the forest floor in Hawaii, whereas Singer [32] described a plant cover reduction of 87%, with a 36% reduction in litter horizon depth due to wild pig-related disturbance in forested areas in North Carolina and Tennessee.

In Hungary, Sütő et al. [17] found wild boar rooting in 42% of their study area in the outskirts of an urban area; meanwhile, in a near-natural dry oak forest, Sütő et al. [20] measured an average proportion of the disturbed 20×20 m cells to be $28.11 \pm 22.01\%$ (ranging between 0 and 80.31%) throughout a three year study. There, the authors explained the high proportion of disturbances by referring to the vegetation composition and food availability both in the city outskirts and in the dry oak forest, similar to the results reported by Wilson [33], who found a direct relationship between the distance to woodland and the reported disturbance events by a wild pig in west Dorset, UK. Further research in Hungary, by Fehér et al. [34], registered that only 6% of the sampled forested areas were rooted during the summer, and the majority of rooting was observed in oak forests. In the Babat Valley, we revealed that the spatial variability in rooting distribution is likely due to the sedimentation processes, which were absent in the other study sites. However, local vegetation characteristics can also have an additional influence, but this requires further research.

The forested area in our research included a large proportion of non-sedimented soil, however, a significant amount of wild boar rooting was found in sedimented soil, even if it was not preferred. It is possibly due to the less-compacted nature of the sedimented particles, which makes it easier for boars to dig in the soil. Further analyses on the causes and impact of this rooting on sedimentation and runoff should be done since soil macrofauna and vegetation cover were already scarce in this type of soil. Additionally, further studies are needed to conclude whether rooting has different effects on macrofauna and vegetation cover in sedimented and non-sedimented areas, respectively.

These relationships could be quite complex, and wild pig disturbances can have the opposite effect, depending on habitat characteristics. Mohr et al. [19] studied the impact of ungulates in enclosures on a low mountain range in Germany characterized by steep slopes, and found that although macrofauna was more abundant in the enclosures, the overall soil characteristics were better on the areas where ungulates were present. Another example comes from Strauch et al. [9], who examined the independent and interactive effects of *Psidium cattleianum* (a highly invasive tree in tropical islands) and wild pigs on runoff amount and soil erosion rates. They reported that wild pigs were less active in more invaded areas, but on those on which it was present, the resulting impact was a reduced canopy cover with consequently higher runoff volumes and soil erosion rates, and even a negative effect on native plant species. Similar results were reported by Tierney and Cushman [35], who compared the effect of wild pigs on native and invasive plant species

in a coastal grassland in northern California. They found that native species recover more slowly than invasive ones after a disturbance event. The presence of invasive species (e.g., *Robinia pseudoacacia, Asclepias syriaca, Celtis occidentalis, Acer negundo*, etc.) in the Babat Valley can be analyzed in further studies to assess the impact of wild boar rooting on the vegetation cover.

Our main result shows that the rooting behavior had no significant impact on the measured soil characteristics, being overridden by the soil type, and other landscape features like microtopography. We differentiated between depths of rooting, given that shallower ditches occupy a larger area, whereas deeper excavations can excavate higher volumes of soil. Therefore, the impact could be significant from both perspectives. Nevertheless, we found that only soil compaction was altered by the rooting depth, due to the closeness of the C horizon in deeper ditches.

Our results indicate that, although the wild boar is considered a high-impact species, changes in pH, TOC and CEC are mainly related to the sedimentation processes in the forest, and not to wild boar rooting activity, which rejects the hypothesis of wild boar rooting having a significant impact on soil characteristics. It can be argued that wild boar rooting has a higher impact on the geomorphology of the soil, whereas the presence of other materials (such as sediments) is the main factor to consider while evaluating changes in a soil's composition. These results are similar to those reported by Frank et al. [36], who examined the impact of herds of native migratory ungulates in variable topo-edaphic conditions in the Yellowstone National Park grasslands, finding that soil turnover by herbivores might cause a long-term impact on the soil Carbon content, although topography plays the primary role on this characteristic. It is worth noting that although Frank et al. [36] studied a grassland, the presence of slopes was considered while evaluating the gradient change in the C content, resulting in an increased amount of biomass at the bottom of the slope, which might be different from the Babat Valley, given the sediment presence at the bottom of the valley. It is also worth noting that in the Yellowstone National Park there are herds of herbivores moving together, whereas, in our study area of a deciduous forest, such movements are related to smaller family groups of wild boars or solitary individuals.

Related but quite distinct (from geographical and also from research question point of view) studies are from Hawaii, where native and non-native forests were compared [9] and one of the main findings was that wild pig caused reduced canopy cover and increased runoff volumes and soil erosion rates in the native forest, while the non-native forest had higher stem density and lower soil erosion and runoff rates. This relation is well-known; however, in our research area the canopy cover is almost 100% and stem density could only be increased by the exclusion of the wild boar that would lead to a rather philosophical question of how a harsh intervention such as the exclusion of wild boar would relate to natural processes. However, this would be another article.

Nevertheless, our results show that wild boar rooting does not have a significant effect on soil penetration resistance or soil composition and that any changes in those soil properties are mainly enhanced by sedimentation or runoff to be observable. Furthermore, the depressions in the slopes of the forest (including the wild boar rooted areas) can inhibit sediment from moving forward down the valley, decreasing the speed of runoff, and enhancing the slow infiltration of accumulated water. Warren et al. [5] analyzed the effect of livestock on soil hydrologic characteristics, under intensive rotation grazing management, and found a significant impact due to trampling on the soil; namely a lower infiltration rate (i.e., higher compaction) and higher sediment production. On the other hand, Frank et al. [36] applied a similar amount of pressure on the soil resulting in different outcomes that were based not on the actions of the herbivores but on the characteristics and land management of the area. Analyzing those results, it is possible to assess that land management and use have a significant influence on the impact caused by ungulates on the soil. Therefore, in our study, it is the forest composition and the characteristics of the terrain that might influence the overall changes in the runoff and infiltration. Wild boar rooting can be, at least partly, beneficial in slowing down runoff and trapping sediment. Although

more substantial natural or artificial dams are needed to address the current sedimentation problems in the Babat Valley.

Wild boars are regarded as being environmental engineers and the cause of continuous disturbance to soil. Assessing the importance of abiotic characteristics to boars can give a more accurate picture of the nature of these causes and extent of landscape transformations related to other disturbance sources. Further analyses can explore additional abiotic factors, including the impact of vegetation cover, to determine whether wild boar rooting mitigates soil runoff and sedimentation process by trapping washed soil particles or enhancing soil properties through soil disturbance and loosening particles, causing it to be washed down. Although it has improved recently, research on wild boar rooting and its impacts has been predominantly focused on agricultural lands and grasslands, without considering natural ecosystems with limited anthropogenic intervention. In this sense, this study contributes to a better understanding of these dynamics in deciduous forests in the interest of conservation.

5. Conclusions

Our results suggest that the original characteristics of the area (e.g., soil type), sedimentation, and human-built infrastructures (such as highways adjacent to the study area) may have a significantly higher impact on the changes in soil properties than the effects caused by wild boar.

However, based on our results, the population of wild boar is not causing significant alterations to the measured soil properties: therefore, from the point of view of the studied questions, any intervention should mainly focus on the mitigation of runoff and sedimentation processes, rather than an increased level of population control, although the decision-making processes must rely on continuous monitoring of threatening factors and the targets of forest conservation. Furthermore, this conclusion only refers to the pedological issues; forestry and nature conservation [37,38] might raise further concerns, related to population control.

Additional management initiatives should consider the possibility that the rooting activity will have beneficial effects on some other species (e.g., amphibians or invertebrates), as the depressions filled with water (and thus staying moist for longer period) can create a suitable microhabitat for them. In this sense, a further improvement to our study can be the inclusion of an analysis of vegetation cover, as well as interactions between species of interest and wild boar in forested areas concerning soil composition and structure.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/f13081234/s1, Figure S1: The construction of a highway next to the study area (left) has increased the already pre-existing tendency of the water erosion to move sediments along the slope, therefore in 2019 a containment structure was built to slow down the ongoing process in the more pressing gully; however, sedimentation processes continue to occur alongside the highway on many other linear erosion forms (right).; Figure S2: Location of the sampling points in each rooting for the measurement of soil characteristics.; Table S1: Description of soil profiles in 6 deep rooting in the study area.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, C.C. and K.K.; Methodology, C.C. and K.K.; Software, C.C. and Á.F.; Investigation, N.P.-O.; Data Curation, N.P.-O. and Á.F.; Writing—Original Draft Preparation, N.P.-O. and Á.F. and K.K.; Writing—Review and Editing, C.C. and K.K.; Visualization, Á.F. and K.K.; Supervision, C.C. and K.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The first author (N. Pitta-Osses) was supported by the Tempus Public Foundation through the Stipendium Hungaricum Scholarship (SHE-01865-002/2018 and SHE-096581-004/2022). This research was supported by the National Research, Development and Innovation Office within the framework of the National Laboratory for Health Security programme (RRF-2.3.1-21-2022-00006).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank Dávid Sütő for his valuable help with the GIS analysis, Viktória Vona and Márton Vona (Csernozjom Ltd.) for their help with the NIR device, to János Grósz for his assistance with the Eijkelkamp Penetrologger equipment, and to Lyndre Nel for the English revision and improvement. Duna-Ipoly National Park Directorate permitted us to work in the area. The first author (N.P.-O.) continues her studies in Doctoral School of Animal Biotechnology and Animal Science of the Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences (MATE).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. NIWA. Sediment and Forestry, National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research. Available online: https://niwa.co.nz/our-science/freshwater/tools/kaitiaki_tools/land-use/forestry/impacts/sediment-and-forestry (accessed on 21 May 2021).
- 2. Dunkell, D.O.; Bruland, G.L.; Evensen, C.I.; Litton, C.M. Runoff, sediment transport, and effects of feral pig (*Sus scrofa*) exclusion in a forested Hawaiian Watershed. *Pac. Sci.* 2011, 65, 175–194. [CrossRef]
- Hancock, G.R.; Lowry, J.B.C.; Dever, C. Surface disturbance and erosion by pigs: A medium term assessment for the monsoonal tropics. Land Degrad. Dev. 2017, 28, 255–264. [CrossRef]
- Bruland, G.L.; Browning, C.A.; Evensen, C.I. Effects of feral pigs (*Sus scrofa*) on watershed health in Hawai'i: A literature review and preliminary results on runoff and erosion. In *Sustainability Science for Watershed Landscapes*; Institute of Southeast Asian Studies: Los Banos, Philippines, 2010; pp. 251–278.
- 5. Warren, S.D.; Thurow, T.L.; Blackburn, W.H.; Garza, N.E. The influence of livestock trampling under intensive rotation grazing on soil hydrologic characteristics. *J. Range Manag.* **1986**, *39*, 491. [CrossRef]
- 6. Elliot, W.J.; Page-Dumroese, D.S.; Robichaud, P.R. The effects of forest management on erosion and soil productivity. In *Soil Quality and Soil Erosion*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 1999; 14p.
- MacDonald, L.H.; Stednick, J.D. Forests and water: A state-of-the-art review for Colorado. In CWRRI Completion Report; Colorado State University: Fort Collins, CO, USA, 2003; 65p.
- 8. Hancock, G.R.; Lowry, J.B.C.; Dever, C.; Braggins, M. Does introduced fauna influence soil erosion? A field and modelling assessment. *Sci Total Environ.* **2015**, *518–519*, 189–200. [CrossRef]
- Strauch, A.M.; Bruland, G.L.; MacKenzie, R.A.; Giardina, C.P. Soil and hydrological responses to wild pig (*Sus scrofa*) exclusion from native and strawberry guava (Psidium cattleianum)-invaded tropical montane wet forests. *Geoderma* 2016, 279, 53–60. [CrossRef]
- 10. Sarah, P.; Zonana, M. Livestock redistribute runoff and sediments in semi-arid rangeland areas. *Solid Earth* **2015**, *6*, 433–443. [CrossRef]
- 11. Dodge, V.J.; Eviner, V.T.; Cushman, J.H. Context-dependent effects of a reintroduced ungulate on soil properties are driven by soil texture, moisture, and herbivore activity. *Ecol. Evol.* **2020**, *10*, 10858–10871. [CrossRef]
- Beschta, R.L.; Donahue, D.L.; Dellasala, D.A.; Rhodes, J.J.; Karr, J.R.; O'Brien, M.H.; Fleischner, T.L.; Williams, C.D. Adapting to climate change on western public lands: Addressing the ecological effects of domestic, wild, and feral ungulates. *Environ. Manag.* 2013, *51*, 474–491. [CrossRef]
- 13. Cuevas, M.F.; Mastrantonio, L.; Ojeda, R.A.; Jaksic, F.M. Effects of wild boar disturbance on vegetation and soil properties in the Monte Desert, Argentina. *Mamm. Biol.* **2012**, *77*, 299–306. [CrossRef]
- 14. Barrios-García, M.N.; Classen, A.T.; Simberloff, D. Disparate responses of above- and belowground properties to soil disturbance by an invasive mammal. *Ecosphere* **2014**, *5*, 1–13. [CrossRef]
- 15. Keiter, D.A.; Mayer, J.J.; Beasley, J.C. What's in a "common" name? A call for consistent terminology for non-native *Sus scrofa*. *Wildl. Soc. Bull.* **2016**, *40*, 384–387. [CrossRef]
- Katona, K.; Heltai, M. A vaddisznó táplálék-összetételének és táplálkozási sajátságainak szakirodalmi áttekintése. Tájökológiai Lapok 2018, 16, 65–74.
- 17. Sütő, D.; Heltai, M.; Katona, K. Quality and use of habitat patches by wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) along an urban gradient. *Biol. Futur.* **2020**, *71*, 69–80. [CrossRef]
- 18. Barrios-García, M.N.; Ballari, S.A. Impact of wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) in its introduced and native range: A review. *Biol. Invasions* **2012**, *14*, 2283–2300. [CrossRef]
- 19. Mohr, D.; Cohnstaedt, L.W.; Topp, W. Wild boar and red deer affect soil nutrients and soil biota in steep oak stands of the Eifel. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2005**, *37*, 693–700. [CrossRef]
- 20. Sütő, D.; Farkas, J.; Siffer, S.; Schally, G.; Katona, K. Spatiotemporal pattern of wild boar rooting in a Central European dry oak forest. *Eur. J. For. Res.* 2020, 139, 407–418. [CrossRef]
- 21. South Kohala Coastal Partnership. Ungulate Exclusion and Sediment Reduction. *Hawai'i*. Available online: http://www.southkohalacoastalpartnership.com/reports-and-educational-resources.html (accessed on 6 June 2022).
- Hancock, G.R.; Evans, K.G.; Mcdonnell, J.; Hopp, L. Ecohydrological controls on soil erosion and landscape evolution. *Ecohydrology* 2012, 5, 478–490. [CrossRef]
- 23. Demény, K. A gödöllöi-dombság általános bemutatása. J. Landsc. Ecol. 2007, 5, 213–223.

- 24. EEA. European Forest Types. *Categories and Types for Sustainable Forest Management Reporting and Policy*. Available online: https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/technical_report_2006_9 (accessed on 21 May 2021).
- Csányi, S.; Márton, M.; Kiss, K.; Köteles, P.; Schally, G. A Vadgazdálkodási Adattár-2019/2020. vadászati év. Országos Vadgazdálkodási Adattár. Gödöllő; 2020. 66 pp. Available online: http://www.ova.info.hu/vg_stat/VA-2019-2020.pdf (accessed on 22 June 2022).
- Byers, C.R.; Steinhorst, R.K.; Krausman, P.R. Clarification of a technique for analysis of utilization-availability data. J. Wildl. Manag. 1984, 48, 1050–1053. [CrossRef]
- 27. R Development Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Available online: http://softlibre.unizar. es/manuales/aplicaciones/r/fullrefman.pdf (accessed on 21 May 2021).
- 28. Højsgaard, S.; Halekoh, U.; Yan, J. The R package geepack for generalized estimating equations. J. Stat. Softw. 2006, 15, 1–11.
- 29. Carl, G.; Kühn, I. Analyzing spatial autocorrelation in species distributions using Gaussian and logit models. *Ecol. Modell.* 2007, 207, 159–170. [CrossRef]
- 30. Kotanen, P.M. Responses of vegetation to a changing regime of disturbance: Effects of feral pigs in a Californian coastal prairie. *Ecography* **1995**, *18*, 190–199. [CrossRef]
- Cooray, R.G.; Mueller-Dombois, D. Feral pig activity. In *Island Ecosystems: Biological Organization in Selected Hawaiian Communities*; Hutchinson Ross: Stroudsburg, PA, USA, 1981; pp. 309–319.
- 32. Singer, F.J. Wild pig populations in the National Parks. Environ. Manag. 1981, 5, 263–270. [CrossRef]
- 33. Wilson, C.J. Rooting damage to farmland in Dorset, Southern England, caused by feral wild boar *Sus scrofa*. *Mammal Rev.* **2004**, *34*, 331–335. [CrossRef]
- Fehér, Á.; Katona, K.; Szemethy, L. Okozhatnak-e a csülkös vadfajok térségi szintű problémákat a Mátra erdőiben? *Vadbiológia* 2016, 18, 17–26.
- Tierney, T.A.; Cushman, J.H. Temporal changes in native and exotic vegetation and soil characteristics following disturbances by feral pigs in a California grassland. *Biol. Invasions* 2006, *8*, 1073–1089. [CrossRef]
- Frank, D.A.; Depriest, T.; Mclauchlan, K.; Risch, A.C. Topographic and ungulate regulation of soil C turnover in a temperate grassland ecosystem. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 2011, 17, 495–504. [CrossRef]
- 37. Rusvai, K.; Saláta, D.; Falvai, D.; Czóbel, S. Assessment of weed invasion at bait sites in a Central European lower montane zone. *Persp. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **2022**, *55*, 125669. [CrossRef]
- Demeter, A.; Saláta, D.; Tormáné Kovács, E.; Szirmai, O.; Trenyik, P.; Meinhardt, S.; Rusvai, K.; Verbényiné Neumann, K.; Schermann, B.; Szegleti, Z.; et al. Effects of the invasive tree species Ailanthus altissima on the floral diversity and soil properties in the pannonian region. *Land* 2021, 10, 1155. [CrossRef]